



Urgent Appeal to the United Nations Special Procedures

Israel's Designation of Six Palestinian Organisations as 'Terrorist Organisations' A Legal Analysis of Israel Counter-Terrorism Law (2016)

Date: 30 December 2021

For the attention of:

- The United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights in the Palestinian Territory Occupied Since 1967, Mr. S. Michael Lynk;
- The United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights Defenders, Ms. Mary Lawlor;
- The United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms While Countering Terrorism, Ms. Fionnuala Ní Aoláin;
- The United Nations Independent Expert on Human Rights and International Solidarity, Mr. Obiora C. Okafor;
- The United Nations Special Rapporteur on Contemporary Forms of Racism, Racial Discrimination, Xenophobia and Related Intolerance, Ms. E. Tendayi Achiume;
- The United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Rights to Freedom of Peaceful Assembly and of Association, Mr. Clément Nyaletsossi Voulé;
- The United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of the Rights to Freedom of Opinion and Expression, Ms. Irene Khan.

1. Introduction

This urgent appeal is brought by the SOAS Centre for Human Rights Law on behalf of the Palestinian organisations Addameer, Al-Haq, Defense for Children International – Palestine, the Union of Agricultural Work Committees, the Bisan Center for Research and Development, and the Union of Palestinian Women Committees (hereinafter 'the organisations').

This urgent appeal concerns the Israeli Minister of Defence's designation of the organisations as 'terrorist organisations' under Israel's Counter-Terrorism Law on 19 October 2021 and the military orders that were subsequently issued by the West Bank Commander of the Israeli military on 7 November 2021.¹ The 19 October designation and

¹ See Al-Haq, "Palestinian Human Rights NGOs Will Not Be Silenced and Call on the International Community to Take Concrete Actions to Rescind Barbarous Israeli Designations," 23 October 2021, <u>https://www.alhaq.org/advocacy/19009.html</u>; Al-Haq, "Alert: Israel Takes Alarming Steps to Enforce Its Persecution of Six Palestinian Organizations in the West Bank, International Community Must Intervene, 7 November 2021, <u>https://www.alhaq.org/advocacy/19179.html</u>

للمرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف التحمدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



the 3 November military orders entail grave legal consequences under Israel's Counter-Terrorism Law and Defence (Emergency) Regulations,² including: the potential closure of the organizations' offices the seizure of their property; the freezing of their assets and the blockade of their funding. In additions, their staff members can be arbitrarily arrested and become liable to detention and criminal sanctions. These measures form part of a broader pattern of oppression and harassment of Palestinian civil society organisations. They violate the freedom of expression, assembly and association, as well as the prohibition of racial discrimination recognized to their organizations as such, their staff members but also to the Palestinian people they protect and represent. Further, the lack of an effective appeal against these measures violates the right to an effective remedy. The designation and military orders are incompatible with the UN Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (GA Res 53/44, 9 December 1998) (hereinafter UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders). In doing so, they further constitute inhuman acts of repression of individuals and organizations because they oppose Israel's apartheid regime, as per Article II(f) of the 1973 Apartheid Convention.³

This appeal urges the Special Rapporteurs to request Israel to and revoke the designation of the organisations as 'terrorist organisations,' and the corresponding military orders in the West Bank, to affirm its commitment to uphold the rights guaranteed in the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders, and to refrain from any measures that constitute unjustified interference with the legitimate work of the organisations.

2. Factual Background

2.1. The Work of the Organisations as Human Rights Defenders

On 25 October 2021, the UN Special Rapporteurs recalled in a statement that:

"Among the communities that they work with are Palestinian women and girls, children, peasant families, prisoners and civil society activists, all of whom face increased levels of discrimination and even violence. [...] These organisations speak the language of universal

² See The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016,

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623; see The Defense (Emergency) Regulations, 1945,

https://www.imolin.org/doc/amlid/Israel/The_Defence_Emergency_Regulations_1945.pdf; see also Israel Defense Forces Order No. 101 Order Regarding Prohibition of Incitement and Hostile Propaganda Actions, Order No.101,

https://www.btselem.org/download/19670827_order_regarding_prohibition_of_incitement_and_hostile_pr opaganda

³ International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid, 1973, Article II(f), <u>https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/documents/atrocity-</u>

crimes/Doc.10_International%20Convention%20on%20the%20Suppression%20and%20Punishment%20of %20the%20Crime%20of%20Apartheid.pdf





human rights [...] They use a rights-based approach to their work, including a gendered analysis, to document human rights abuses of all kinds in Palestine, including business-related human rights abuses.⁴

The six organizations are leading, well-established and internationally recognized actors of the Palestinian civil society, in the area of human rights, specific groups including political prisoners, women and children, health and the environment:

- Addameer Prisoner Support and Human Rights Association was established in 1991. It "offers free legal aid to political prisoners, advocates their rights at the national and international level, and works to end torture and other violations of prisoners' rights through monitoring, legal procedures and solidarity campaigns."⁵
- Al-Haq was established in 1979. It seeks "to protect and promote human rights and the rule of law in the Occupied Palestinian Territory (OPT)." It has special consultative status with the United Nations Economic and Social Council since 1999, and is the West Bank affiliate of the International Commission of Jurists.⁶
- Defense for Children International Palestine was established in 1991. It documents and exposes human rights violations against Palestinian children, and provides them with legal representation in Israeli military courts. It is a national section of Defence for Children International (DCI).⁷
- The Union of Agricultural Work Committees (UAWC) was established in 1986 and is "one of the largest agricultural development institutions in Palestine". It formed agricultural committees in the West Bank and Gaza to represent the interests of small farmers.⁸
- Bisan Center for Research and Development was established in 1989 and is dedicated to the goal of social justice through the advocacy of development that benefits the poor and the marginalised as well as advocacy of socio-economic rights, including the right to health, and gender justice.⁹
- The Union of Palestinian Women Committees was established in 1980 and it advocates to gender equality and empowerment of women in society through

⁴ See "UN Experts Condemn Israel's Designation of Palestinian Human Rights Defenders as Terrorist Organizations," 25 October 2021,

https://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=27702

⁵ See <u>https://www.addameer.org/about/our-work</u>

⁶ See <u>https://www.alhaq.org/about-alhaq/7136.html</u>

⁷ See <u>https://www.dci-palestine.org/</u>

⁸ See <u>https://www.uawc-pal.org/UAWCAbout.php</u>

⁹ See <u>https://www.bisan.org/</u>





raising awareness concerning women's political participation, economic support for women-led businesses, and legal and psychological support against domestic violence.¹⁰

2.2. The Legal Consequences of these Designations

On 19 October 2021, Israeli Minister of Defence Beni Gantz issued declarations, pursuant to Israel's Counter-Terrorism Law of 2016, which designated the organisations as terrorist because they are "an arm of," and "act on behalf of," the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP).¹¹

The provisions of the 2016 Law are made applicable extra-territorially and thus applicable to the members of these civil society organisations registered with the Palestinian Authority.¹² Further, Israel has long unlawfully applied its penal code to the West Bank.¹³ Moreover, all military commanders, including those in the occupied territories, are subordinate to the Minister of Defence. Nevertheless, on 3 November 2021, the West Bank Commander of the Israeli military supplemented the Minister's declaration by issuing Military Orders outlawing the organisations as "unlawful associations" pursuant to the Defence (Emergency) Regulations 1945 to cement the legal basis for closing down the organisations' offices in the West Bank.¹⁴

Pursuant to the Counter-Terrorism Law of 2016 once an organisation is outlawed as a terrorist organisation its offices can be closed, its property can be seized, its assets be frozen, its funding can be blocked. In addition, its workers can be detained and criminalised. For instance, charges of membership in a terror organisation, commitment of actions that benefit a terror organisation, publishing materials expressing sympathy with a terror organisation can lead to years of imprisonment.¹⁵

¹⁰ See <u>http://upwc.org.ps/?lang=en</u>

¹¹ The text of the declarations is available at <u>https://nbctf.mod.gov.il/en/Pages/211021EN.aspx</u>

¹² Article 10 which applies the law to "foreign" individuals who are neither citizens or residents of Israel, and foreign associations which are not based within the 1948 borders. *See* The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016, Article 10,

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623 ¹³ Chaim Levinson, "Israel Applies Its Penal Code Across the West Bank," *Haaretz*, 28 March 2015, <u>https://www.haaretz.com/.premium-israel-applies-penal-code-in-w-bank-1.5343364</u> ¹⁴ See e.g., the military order against UPWC:

https://www.idf.il/media/89017/%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%A8%D7%96%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%90%D7%97%D7%93%D7%95%D7%AA-

<u>%D7%91%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%A8%D7%AA-5.pdf</u>. And against Bisan Center:

https://www.idf.il/media/89011/%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%A8%D7%96%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%90%D7%97%D7%93%D7%95%D7%AA-

<mark>%D7%91%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%A8%D7%AA-1.pdf</mark> ¹⁵ The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016,

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623

Affiliate, International Commission of Jurists - Geneva فرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف المتحدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي هي الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



The Military Orders declaring the organisations as "unlawful associations" in the West Bank empower the Israeli military authorities to take sweeping and draconian measures against the organisations and those working or associated with them.¹⁶ Military orders have been extensively used to undermine Palestinians' rights of peaceful assembly, freedom of association, and freedom of speech. In 2019 Human Rights Watch reported that since 1967 the Israeli military have banned no less than 411 organisations in the West Bank.¹⁷

2.3. Lack of Evidence, Lack of Due Process

The organisations learned about the declarations referred to from the media, three days after their actual issuance. They were not offered a hearing prior to the decisions. The evidence on which the decisions were made is secret and therefore classified and unreachable for their legal defence. According to these declarations, the organisations can object to this designation. However, no effective challenge can be pursued given the lack of access to secret evidence, and the objections would anyhow be presented to the very authorities that determined the designation and the classification of the evidence.

On 4 November the 74-page "classified dossier" that Israeli intelligence prepared and that Israel circulated to foreign governments in support of its decision was obtained and examined by media organisations, which concluded that it offers no evidence to support the designation.¹⁸ Earlier, on 2 November 2021, the Irish Minister for Foreign Affairs declared that Israel has not provided any evidence linking groups to terror.¹⁹ On 8 November 2021, Front Line Defenders organization revealed that phones of workers of the organisations were targeted by Pegasus spyware which was developed by the Israeli spyware company NSO.²⁰ The US Commerce Department had recently blacklisted NSO

¹⁶ For instance, article 251 of Military Order 1651 of 2010 imposes a 10-year sentence on anyone who "attempts, orally or otherwise, to influence public opinion in the Area [the West Bank] in a manner which may harm public peace or public order" or "publishes words of praise, sympathy or support for a hostile organization, its actions or objectives"; *see* Human Rights Watch, "Born Without Civil Rights: Israel's Use of Draconian Military Orders to Repress Palestinians in the West Bank," 17 December 2019, https://www.hrw.org/report/2019/12/17/born-without-civil-rights/israels-use-draconian-military-orders-

repress

¹⁷ Human Rights Watch, "Born Without Civil Rights: Israel's Use of Draconian Military Orders to Repress Palestinians in the West Bank," 17 December 2019, <u>https://www.hrw.org/report/2019/12/17/born-without-civil-rights/israels-use-draconian-military-orders-repress</u>

¹⁸ Yuval Abraham, Oren Ziv and Meron Rapoport, "Secret Israeli Dossier Provides No Proof For Declaring Palestinian NGOs 'Terrorists,' +972 Magazine, 4 November 2021, <u>https://www.972mag.com/shin-betdossier-palestinian-ngos/</u>

¹⁹ Tovah Lazaroff, "Israel Hasn't Given Us Evidence Linking NGOs to Terror, Irish FM Says," *Jerusalem Post*, 2 November 2021, <u>https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/israel-hasnt-given-us-evidence-linking-ngos-to-terror-irish-fm-says-683844</u>

²⁰ Front Line Defenders, Six Palestinian Human Rights Defenders Hacked With NSO Group's Pegasus Spyware, 8 November 2021, <u>https://www.frontlinedefenders.org/en/statement-report/statement-targeting-palestinian-hrds-pegasus</u>

هرع نجنة الحقوقيين الدونية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva تتمتــــة تتمتـــع الحـــق بصفــة استشـارية لـدى المجـلس الاقتصــادي والاجتمــاعي هي الأمـم المتحــدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



as a risk to US national security.²¹ Also on 8 November 2021, the leading Israeli National Security Correspondent Ronen Bergman revealed that the Israeli ministry of defence had rushed to outlaw the organisations to pre-empt the looming exposure of the hacking of their workers' phones and to justify it.²²

The Counter-Terrorism Law of 2016, which empowers the Minister of Defence to make a declaration designating an organization as a terror group is deeply flawed, as it allows the violation of basic procedural protections for those who are subjected to such a declaration. The grave consequences of the declaration compound the significance of the lack of these safeguards.²³

2.4. Purpose of Designation and Patterns of Harassment

As reported by Al-Haq, Palestinian civil society organisations have been repeatedly harassed by Israeli occupation authorities including by raiding their offices, confiscating their computers, invading and stealing their clients' confidential information, revoking their workers' residency, or detaining them, with the aim of intimidating, obstructing, and de-legitimizing them.²⁴ This is part of a wider attempt to stifle opposition to Israel's apartheid colonial regime and prevent exposures of its practices, especially given some of these organizations' close engagement with the processes leading up to the opening, in March 2021, of an investigation by the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court (ICC) into allegations of war crimes and crimes against humanity in Palestine.²⁵ Israel also obstructs the work of international human rights organisations operating to promote the Palestinian people's rights; in November 2019 for example, Israel deported the regional director of Human Rights Watch, Omar Shakir; and has refused 'even minimal cooperation' with the UN Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied by Israel since 1967, including in barring entry to and deporting the then Special Rapporteur, Richard Falk.²⁶ This harassment has also

²¹ Reuters and Amitai Ziv, "U.S. Blacklist Israeli Cyberarms Firms NSO, Candiru for Harming 'National Security and Interests," *Haaretz*, 3 November 2021, <u>https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/u-s-says-israeli-cyberware-firms-nso-candiru-harm-national-security-and-interests-1.10350660</u>

 ²² Ronen Bergman, "This Is More Than a Quarrel With a Digital Spyware Company from Herzliya," *Ynet*,
8 November 2021 (in Hebrew), <u>https://m.ynet.co.il/articles/skphofuvt</u>

²³ Eliav Lieblich and Adam Shinar, "Counterterrorism Off the Rails: Israel's Declaration of Palestinian Human Rights Groups as "Terrorist" Organizations," *Just Security*, 22 October 2021, https://www.justsecurity.org/78732/counterterrorism-off-the-rails-jsraels-declaration-of-palestinian-human

https://www.justsecurity.org/78732/counterterrorism-off-the-rails-israels-declaration-of-palestinian-human-rights-groups-as-terrorist-organizations/

²⁴ Al Haq, "Designated Shrinking Space: Israel's Systematic Harassment Campaigns Against Al-Haq, are the Acts of an Illegal Apartheid Regime," 1 November 2021, <u>https://www.alhaq.org/advocacy/19143.html</u> ²⁵ The current Israeli Minister of Defence, Benny Gantz, who issued the 'terrorist' designations against the six organisations, was the army's chief of staff during Israel's war on Gaza in 2014 and is among the officials presumably implicated in the allegations

²⁶ "This Special Rapporteur was expelled in December 2008 when attempting to enter Israel to carry out a mission of the mandate to visit occupied Palestine, and detained overnight in unpleasant prison conditions. Such humiliating non-cooperation represents a breach of the legal duty of States Members of the United

مقرع تجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع تجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف التحمدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



AL-HAQ

been complemented and reinforced by a state-condoned smear campaign by pro-Israeli organisations like NGO Monitor and UK Lawyers for Israel (UKLFI) who targeted the NGOs' funding.²⁷ In 2020, UKLFI had to recant its smears that DCI – Palestine supports terrorism as an affiliate of the PFLP.²⁸ A detailed report by the Israeli Policy Working Group has exposed the working methods of NGO Monitor, "a politically-motivated organization that maintains close coordination and cooperation with the Israeli government."²⁹

The designations are measures within the framework of the longest military occupation since WWII. There is an ever-growing consensus that it has become insufficient to treat the situation as a mere 'prolonged occupation' or 'illegal occupation' but rather as a violation of the prohibition on apartheid and colonization. Both Human Rights Watch and B'Tselem published their analysis of the situation of Palestine through the lens of Israel's apartheid. ³⁰ As such, they follow the footsteps of Palestinian organisations such as Al-Haq who made submissions to the UN committees making the same claim,³¹ and Al-Mezan who just issued a report of Israel's apartheid in the Gaza Strip.³²

The designation seeks to stifle criticisms and exposures of the human rights record of the Israeli authorities, including referring to its rule as an apartheid regime. It seeks to obstruct the work of these organisations and prevent any form of resistance to its subjugation of the Palestinian people. It is part of what Israel considers a 'legitimacy war' in which regular human rights activity and revelations of Israeli grave human rights breaches, including in relation ICC to investigations, is considered as de-legitimation of Israel.³³

https://www.btselem.org/publications/fulltext/202101_this_is_apartheid

Nations to facilitate all official undertakings of the organization," HRC, "Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights in the Palestinian Territories Occupied Since 1967," 13 January 2014, A/HRC/25/67, para.2

²⁷ Alex Kane and Mariam Barghouti, "How an Israeli Smear Campaign is Ripping Away Funds From Palestinian Farmers," +972 Magazine, 25 January 2021, <u>https://www.972mag.com/palestinian-funding-uawc-israel-lobby/</u>

²⁸ Defence for Children International, "UK Lawyers for Israel Recants Allegations of DCIP Material Support to Designated Terror Group, 10 March 2020, <u>https://www.dci-</u>

palestine.org/uk lawyers for israel recants allegations of dcip material support to terror group ²⁹ Policy Working Group, "NGO Monitor: Shrinking Space, Defaming Human Rights Organizations that Criticize the Israeli Occupation," September 2018, <u>http://policyworkinggroup.org.il/report_en.pdf</u>

³⁰ Human Rights Watch, "A Threshold Crossed: Israeli Authorities and the Crimes of Apartheid and Persecution," 27 April 2021, <u>https://www.hrw.org/report/2021/04/27/threshold-crossed/israeli-authorities-and-crimes-apartheid-and-persecution</u>; B'Tselem, "A regime of Jewish Supremacy from the Jordan River to the Mediterranean Sea: This is Apartheid," 12 January 2020,

³¹ Al-Haq, "Palestinian, Regional, and International Groups Submit Report on Israeli Apartheid to UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination," 12 November 2019, https://www.alhaq.org/advocacy/16183.html

³² Al-Mezan, *The Gaza Bantustan: Israeli Apartheid in the Gaza Strip* (November 2021), http://mezan.org/en/uploads/files/16381763051929.pdf

³³ "Israel Bemoans Palestinian Influence on ICC 'Diplomatic Terrorism'," *I24 News*, 22 December 2019, https://www.i24news.tv/en/news/israel/diplomacy-defense/1577013136-israel-palestinian-influence-on-icc-



3. Legal Analysis

3.1. Compatibility of the Designation with Key Civil and Political Rights, Particularly Freedom of Expression, Assembly and Association

The freedoms of thought, expression, association and assembly are interrelated and interdependent civil and political rights. The guarantee of each is necessary for the others. The fundamental freedoms of expression and association are guaranteed under Articles 19 and 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and further in Article 19 and 22 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).³⁴

Several international human rights standards set out the grounds permitting their limitation or restriction, namely legality, legitimate aim or purpose and proportionality.

3.1.1. Legality: any limitation on freedom of expression or association must be prescribed by law. A restriction cannot be legitimate where it is based upon the arbitrary whim of an official.³⁵ A norm, to be characterized as a 'law,' must be formulated with sufficient precision to enable an individual to regulate his or her conduct accordingly and it must be made accessible to the public. A law may not confer unfettered discretion for the restriction of freedom of expression on those charged with its execution. Laws must provide sufficient guidance to those charged with their execution to enable them to ascertain what sorts of expression are properly restricted and what sorts are not.³⁶ Restrictions must be directly related to the specific need on which they are predicated.³⁷ Furthermore, laws must not violate the non-discrimination provisions of the Covenant and must not provide for penalties that are incompatible with the Covenant.³⁸

https://www.timesofisrael.com/netanyahu-an-icc-investigation-of-israel-would-be-pure-anti-semitism/ ³⁴ UNGA, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 10 December 1948, 217 A,

https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx ³⁵ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 – Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September

2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 22, https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf

decision-diplomatic-terrorism; "Netanyahu: An ICC Investigation of Israel Would Be 'Pure Anti-Semitism'," *Times of Israel*, 6 February 2021,

https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights; UNGA, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 16 December 1966, 999 UNTS 171,

³⁶ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 – Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September 2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 25, <u>https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf</u>

³⁷ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 – Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September 2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 25, <u>https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf</u>.

³⁸ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 – Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September 2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 26, <u>https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf</u>

للم ع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف التصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة تتمتع الحسق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



AL-HAQ

Israel's Counter-Terrorism Law of 2016 was introduced "to replace and update older laws, including emergency legislation dating back to the British Mandate, which targeted both Jewish and Arab resistance to British rule."³⁹ The Law provides the Israeli Minister of Defence with a wide ambit of powers and discretion through which to designate a body of persons as a 'terrorist organisation' or that which is aiding or abetting a 'terrorist organisation.⁴⁰ A terrorist organisation itself is defined as anybody of persons operating with the intention of committing terrorist acts. 'Terrorist acts' are defined broadly to include "acts carried out with a political, religious, nationalistic or ideological motive" carried out with "the intention of provoking fear or panic among the public" compelling "a government or other governmental authority, including a government or authority from a foreign country [...] or a public international organization, to do or abstain from doing any act."⁴¹

The Law provides the Minister of Defence with the power to designate a body as a terrorist organisation without any prior due process rights to a hearing. Upon being designated a terrorist organisation, members of such groups can be detained, their property can be seized through the issuance of administrative decrees or upon conviction in court, and their activity can be halted.⁴² Although there is no prior due process right to a hearing to provide evidence negating the Minister's designation, parties are entitled to submit a petition to the Supreme Court *ex post facto* to rebut the declaration, but it chances are slim.⁴³ Indeed, the Minister of Defence himself is given the authority to determine the outcome of the organisation's petition, which runs counter to basic rule of law notions that no-one should be a judge in one's own case (*nemo judex in causa sua*). According to the 19 October designation, can be submitted to the Advisory Committee regarding designations on Terror Organisations, under the provisions of clauses 5 and 7 of the Anti-Terrorism Law 2016."⁴⁴

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623 ⁴² See articles 20-36 (regarding penalties), and art. 56 (regarding seizure of property), and articles 69-72

(regarding preventing activity and closing spaces), The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016, https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623

³⁹ Eliav Lieblich and Adam Shinar, "Counterterrorism Off the Rails: Israel's Declaration of Palestinian Human Rights Groups as "Terrorist" Organizations," *Just Security*, 22 October 2021, <u>https://www.justsecurity.org/78732/counterterrorism-off-the-rails-israels-declaration-of-palestinian-human-rights-groups-as-terrorist-organizations/</u>

⁴⁰ See The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016, Chapter 2, Article A,

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623 ⁴¹ See The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016, Article 2,

⁴³ Eliav Lieblich and Adam Shinar, "Counterterrorism Off the Rails: Israel's Declaration of Palestinian Human Rights Groups as "Terrorist" Organizations," *Just Security*, 22 October 2021, <u>https://www.justsecurity.org/78732/counterterrorism-off-the-rails-israels-declaration-of-palestinian-human-rights-groups-as-terrorist-organizations/</u>

⁴⁴ See Al-Haq, "Alert: Israel Takes Alarming Steps to Enforce Its Persecution of Six Palestinian Organizations in the West Bank, International Community Must Intervene, 7 November 2021, https://www.alhaq.org/advocacy/19179.html

Affiliate, International Commission of Jurists - Geneva فرع تجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف المتحدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي هي الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



declaration, can submit his objections in writing" within 14 days to the legal representatives of the military commander who issued the order.⁴⁵

The Advisory Committee mentioned in the 2016 Law can only make recommendations (hence the Minister of Defence is under no legal obligation to accept its findings). It is appointed by the Minister of Justice and is composed of three members: a judge, a jurist, and (in consultation with Minister of Defence) a national security expert. In other words, the Minister of Defence has a say in the Committee's composition. In addition, the law requires the Committee to request the reply of the chief of Israeli internal intelligence (or a delegate thereof), whose recommendation led to the designation in the first place, to submitted objections. Moreover, the Committee's deliberations are not public but secret, its meetings in camera and its protocols classified. Upon the recommendation of the Minister of Defence it can maintain the classified nature of the evidence supporting the declaration, and thus no effective scrutiny of its findings and recommendations is feasible.⁴⁶ The only grounds that the Law specifies for reversing a designation is the Minister of Defence being convinced that "the declaration was without a basis".⁴⁷ Yet, having determined the designation in the first place on the basis of a recommendation by the Israeli Security Service, it does not seem very likely that the Minister will reach that opposite conclusion. The Minister's discretion is thus very wide with no effective and external constraints. Finally, with respect to military orders, and as HRW stated in 2019, "Military law sets out no formal procedures to appeal the designation of an association as unlawful or a decision to close a business. While Palestinians can appeal such administrative decisions to the High Court of Justice, the Court has shown great deference over the years to the position of the state or army."48

To conclude, the declarations were not formulated with sufficient precision, they reflect a process of arbitrary decision-making that empowers military officials with unfettered discretion, without sufficient guidance in the law, without effective mechanisms of

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623

⁴⁵ See e.g., the military order against UPWC:

https://www.idf.il/media/89017/%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%A8%D7%96%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%90%D7%97%D7%93%D7%95%D7%AA-

<u>%D7%91%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%A8%D7%AA-5.pdf</u>. And against Bisan Center:

https://www.idf.il/media/89011/%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%A8%D7%96%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%90%D7%97%D7%93%D7%95%D7%AA-

⁹/₄₆ See The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016, Articles 5-9 and 14, 16,

https://main.knesset.gov.il/Activity/Legislation/Laws/Pages/LawPrimary.aspx?lawitemid=2004623 ⁴⁷ See The Counter-Terrorism Law, 5776-2016, Article 7,

⁴⁸ Human Rights Watch, "Born Without Civil Rights: Israel's Use of Draconian Military Orders to Repress Palestinians in the West Bank," 17 December 2019, Articles 4-5,

https://www.hrw.org/report/2019/12/17/born-without-civil-rights/israels-use-draconian-military-ordersrepress





scrutiny, and with no effective remedy for the affected organisations and individuals working for them.

3.1.2. Legitimate aim or purpose: The interference must follow a legitimate purpose, that is, be based on one of the exhaustive grounds of limitations as listed in the international standards defining freedom of association, assembly or expression. The Human Rights Committee has expressly stated that extreme care must be taken by states when applying provisions of their laws with respect to national security in order to limit these freedoms. The Committee has explicitly stated that it is not compatible with Article 19(3) of the ICCPR for a state to withhold from the public information of legitimate public interest.⁴⁹ It has further highlighted that States parties should ensure that counter-terrorism measures are compatible with paragraph 3.⁵⁰

Further, the Committee has raised concerns about, and warned against the unjustified invocation of article 19(3) as a means to stifle human rights work. It stated that States parties should put in place effective measures to protect against attacks aimed at silencing those exercising their right to freedom of expression. Paragraph 3 may never be invoked as a justification for the muzzling of any advocacy of multi-party democracy, democratic tenets and human rights. Nor, under any circumstance, can an attack on a person, because of the exercise of his or her freedom of opinion or expression, including such forms of attack as arbitrary arrest, torture, threats to life and killing, be compatible with article 19. Journalists are frequently subjected to such threats, intimidation and attacks because of their activities. So too are persons who engage in the gathering and analysis of information on the human rights situation and who publish human rights-related reports, including judges and lawyers. All such attacks should be vigorously investigated in a timely fashion, and the perpetrators prosecuted, and the victims, or, in the case of killings, their representatives, be in receipt of appropriate forms of redress.⁵¹

Israel has based the designation on the alleged link of the organisations with terrorist organisations. It has, however, not provided any evidence to substantiate this allegation. Conversely, there is significant evidence, documented by al-Haq and other organisations, that the Israeli authorities have harassed Palestinian human rights organisations and have sought to hinder their legitimate human rights advocacy. Scholars have identified such

- ⁵⁰ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September 2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 46, <u>https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf</u>
- ⁵¹ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September 2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 23, <u>https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf</u>

⁴⁹ UNHRC, "General Comment 34 – Article 19: Freedoms of Opinion and Expression," 12 September 2011, CCPR/C/GC/34, para 30, <u>https://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrc/docs/gc34.pdf</u>

Affiliate, International Commission of Jurists - Geneva فرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف المتحدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأصم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



efforts as part of a 'lawfare narrative' that aims "to present Palestinian engagement with the law as being the latest and most invidious manifestation of the terrorist threat."⁵² In 2018, a report by Israel's Ministry of Strategic Affairs and Public Diplomacy calling on EU states to "halt their direct and indirect financial support and funding to Palestinian and international human rights organisations that 'have ties to terror and promote boycott against Israel" was cogently considered by al-Haq and others to have "reveal[ed] the State of Israel's direct official involvement in smear campaigns against Palestinian human rights organisations and their European partners."⁵³

This pattern of obstruction has intensified after these organisations raised the allegation of apartheid, which garnered international attention, and worked to support the ICC investigations. Specifically, over the past five years, ongoing attacks have been levelled against human rights organisations and in particular, the organisations, especially though not exclusively those engaging in United Nations Forums. The Israeli government has failed to provide justifications for its actions that comply with the principles as set out by the Human Rights Committee above. Several independent international observers and treaty bodies reports continue evidence this pattern of intimidation. Specifically, we wish to draw your attention to the following:

- (1) The Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied since 1967 highlighted an increasingly virulent environment for human rights defenders working on issues related to the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including those addressing issues in United Nations forums.⁵⁴
- (2) This report followed a joint press statement issued on 16 December 2016 by the Special Rapporteur and the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, in which they expressed their concerns for human rights activists working in the Occupied Palestinian Territory who had been subjected to harassment and threats while seeking to promote accountability and engage with the International Criminal Court. Nada Kiswanson, a human rights lawyer based in The Hague, where she represented Al-Haq, an organization that documents violations of Palestinians' rights in the Occupied Palestinian Territory regardless of the identity of the perpetrator, is alleged to have been subjected to death threats and accusations linked to her work before the International Criminal Court.⁵⁵

⁵² Michael Kearney, "Lawfare, Legitimacy and Resistance: the Weak and the Law," *Palestine Yearbook of International Law* 16 (2010), 81

⁵³ Al-Haq, "Palestinian Human Right Organisations Condemn Israel's Unremitting Attempts to Silence them," 25 May 2018, <u>https://www.alhaq.org/advocacy/6197.html</u>

⁵⁴ UNHRC, Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights in the Palestinian Territories Occupied Since 1967, 13 April 2017, A/HRC/34/70, para 47

⁵⁵ UNHRC, Cooperation with the United Nations, its Representatives and Mechanisms in the Field of Human Rights, 29 March 2018, A/HRC/36/31, para 39

فرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف التحمدة تتمتع الحسق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



(3) Israel has been consistently asked to respond to allegations of acts of threats against and harassment, detention and arrests of human rights defenders and civil society organizations, particularly those working on international accountability for violations of human rights law.⁵⁶

In the circumstances, the invocation of public security grounds to justify the designation, *i.e.* an interference with the freedoms of expression, assembly and association, serves as a pretext to prevent the legitimate exercise of these freedoms.

3.1.3. Proportionality. The restriction must be necessary, in the sense that there is a pressing social need for it and any measure taken constitute the minimum requirement to achieve the purpose of the limitation in a democratic society. Restrictions must not be overbroad. The Committee observed in General Comment no.27 that: "restrictive measures must conform to the principle of proportionality; they must be appropriate to achieve their protective function; they must be the least intrusive instrument amongst those which might achieve their protective function; they must be proportionate to the interest to be protected [...] The principle of proportionality has to be respected not only in the law that frames the restrictions but also by the administrative and judicial authorities in applying the law".⁵⁷ The principle of proportionality must also take account of the form of expression at issue as well as the means of its dissemination. For instance, the value placed by the Covenant upon uninhibited expression is particularly high in the circumstances of public debate in a democratic society concerning figures in the public and political domain."⁵⁸

The designation of the organisations as 'terrorist' is based on a law that does not conform with the principle of legality. It also does not follow a legitimate aim. Even if these two preconditions justifying interference with the freedoms referred to above were met, the draconian measures combining, effectively, the paralysis and shutting down of an organisation with the criminalisation of its members, is clearly disproportionate when applied to human rights defenders. It is difficult to envisage any circumstances in which any such measures could possibly be justified.

⁵⁶ UNHRC, "Summary of Stakeholders' Submissions on Israel: Report of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights," 3 November 2017, A/HRC/WG.6/29/ISR/3, para 29.

⁵⁷ UNHRC, CCPR General Comment No. 27: Article 12 (Freedom of Movement), para. 14,

https://www.refworld.org/pdfid/45139c394.pdf. See also HRC, Communications No. 1128/2002, Marques v. Angola; See also HRC, No. 1157/2003, Coleman v. Australia

⁵⁸ See HRC, Communication No. 1180/2003, *Bodrozic v. Serbia and Montenegro*, views adopted on 31 October 2005

مرع تجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع تجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف التصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



3.2. Compatibility of the Designation with the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Israel voted in favour of the Declaration on Human Rights Defenders in 1999. It then reaffirmed its commitment to upholding the rights of human rights defenders again in 2015 by supporting a second resolution which noted that the Assembly was "gravely concerned that national security and counter-terrorism legislation" as well as "laws regulating civil society organizations" that were "in some instances misused to target human rights defenders or hinder their work, endangering their safety in a manner contrary to international law."⁵⁹ That resolution further called upon states "to take all measures necessary to ensure the rights and safety of human rights defenders who exercise the rights to freedom of opinion, expression, peaceful assembly and association, which are essential for the promotion and protection of human rights."⁶⁰

Article 1 of the Declaration on Human Rights Defenders states that "Everyone has the right, individually and in association with others, to promote and strive for the protection and realization of human rights and fundamental freedoms at the national and international levels."⁶¹ The declaration clearly identifies organisations as human rights defenders in addition to individuals, as evidenced through its official title and the affirmation in each of its articles that the rights protected are enjoyed both by individuals *and* individuals in association with others. This is further affirmed in the fourth preambular paragraph in respect of which 'individuals, groups and associations' are referred.

Israel's 2016 Counter-Terrorism Law does not provide the legal guarantees envisaged in article 2(1) of the Declaration for the enjoyment of human rights within its jurisdiction, and its content and application to human rights defenders constitutes a failure, pursuant to article 2(2) of the Declaration "to adopt such legislative, administrative and other steps as may be necessary to ensure that the rights and freedoms referred to in the present Declaration are effectively guaranteed." The designation of the organisations as terrorist frustrates a series of their rights under the declaration, particularly article 5 - peaceful assembly, association, communication-; article 6 - access to, holding and publishing human rights information, drawing public attention to all human rights and fundamental freedoms; article 7 -develop and discuss new human rights ideas and principles and to advocate their acceptance-; article 8 -effective access to participation in government, promotion and protection of human rights-; article 9 -effective remedy against the violation of rights-; article 11 - lawful exercise of their occupation or profession-; article 12 -participation in peaceful activities against human rights violations, protection against violence, threats,

⁵⁹ UNGA, Third Committee, A/C.3/70/L.46/Rev.1, 18 November 2015, preamble para 7

⁶⁰ UNGA, Third Committee, A/C.3/70/L.46/Rev.1, 18 November 2015, para 2

⁶¹ UNGA, Human Rights Defenders in the Context of the Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, 10 February 2016, A/RES/70/161, https://www.ohchr.org/en/issues/srhrdefenders/pages/declaration.aspx

هرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



retaliation, *de facto* or *de jure* adverse discrimination, protection under national law-; and article 13 - solicit, receive and utilise resources for the express purpose of promoting and protecting human rights and fundamental freedoms through peaceful means.

Furthermore, the designation of the organisations may be seen to be a response to their support for ICC investigations, in addition to other UN processes in which they continue legitimately to take part. The Declaration on Human Rights Defenders explicitly affirms the obligation upon States to ensure that all individuals can freely communicate with United Nations mechanisms in articles 5(c) and 9(4). Acts of reprisal may breach this obligation. Additionally, the Declaration makes it clear that acts of violence or threats against human rights defenders when they communicate with the UN system, or their intimidation or coercion, violate both their right to communicate in addition to their other rights.⁶² This is further supported by the findings of successive Special Rapporteurs in addition to the Reports of the UN Secretary General on Reprisals, who have repeatedly noted the obligation upon states to ensure that no reprisals occur against those who wish to interact with the United Nations human rights mechanisms or who cooperate with the UN.⁶³

3.3. Discrimination

Non-discrimination is a fundamental principle of international human rights law. It prohibits differential treatment on prohibited grounds.

In 2014, the Human Rights Committee expressed its concern about the lack of explicit codification of the principle of equality and non-discrimination in Israel's Basic Law, urging Israel to "ensure equal treatment for all persons within its territory and subject to its jurisdiction, regardless of their national or ethnic origin, and in particular, pursue the review of all laws discriminating against Palestinian citizens of Israel and ensure that any future legislation is fully compatible with the principle of equality and non-discrimination." ⁶⁴ In 2019, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights urged Israel "to review the Basic Law [Israel-The Nation State of the Jewish People] with a view to bringing it in line with the

⁶² See also UNCAT, Hanafi v Algeria, Communication No. 341/2008, 3 June 2011, para .8

⁶³ See for instance: UNGA "Cooperation with the United Nations, its Representatives and its Mechanisms, in the Field of Human Rights," A/HRC/45/36, 25 September 2020, para 65 and 111; UNGA Cooperation with the United Nations, its Representatives and its Mechanisms, in the Field of Human Rights," A/HRC/42/30, 9 September 2019, para 65; UNGA Cooperation with the United Nations, its Representatives and its Mechanisms, in the Field of Human Rights, "A/HRC/42/30, 9 September 2019, para 65; UNGA Cooperation with the United Nations, its Representatives and its Mechanisms, in the Field of Human Rights," A/HRC/42/30, 9 September 2019, para 65; UNGA Cooperation with the United Nations, its Representatives and its Mechanisms, in the Field of Human Rights," A/HRC/39/41, para 68 and 53, together with 78. *See also* UNHRC; "Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights Defenders: Human rights Defenders Operating in Conflict and Post-Conflict Situations," 30 December 2019, A/HRC/43/51, paras 37 and 42; UNGA, "Situation of Human Rights Defenders," 23 July 2018, A/73/215, paras 56-57

⁶⁴ HRC, Concluding Observations on the Fourth Periodic Report of Israel, 21 November 2014, CCPR/C/ISR/CO/4, 21 November 2014, para 7

لمرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع لجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف التصادي والاجتماعي في الأمم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



AL-HAQ

Covenant or repealing it and to step up its efforts to eliminate discrimination faced by non-Jews in enjoying the Covenant rights, particularly rights of selfdetermination, non-discrimination and cultural rights."⁶⁵ In 2020, the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination exhorted Israel to "ensure that the measures taken [related to security and stability in the region] [...] do not discriminate in purpose or in effect against Palestinian citizens in the Occupied Palestinian Territory or any other minorities whether in Israel proper or in territories under the State party's effective control."⁶⁶

The organisations, through their human rights work, object to, and advocate an end to, racial discrimination of Palestinians and the multiple forms this discrimination takes both within the Occupied Palestinian Territory and Israel.⁶⁷ The pattern of harassment of Palestinian organisations is based both on their identity and advocacy for Palestinian rights. It seeks to stifle any protests against racial discrimination, racial segregation and apartheid.⁶⁸ The purported need to maintain security has served as the main justification to take or maintain restrictive and discriminatory measures, which have no factual basis in respect of the designation. The measures taken against the organisation, particularly their designation, therefore constitute part of the institutionalised system of racial discrimination.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

The present appeal shed light on the unlawfulness of both the designation of the six Palestinian human rights organizations as 'terrorist organizations,' and the legal basis that permitted such labelling, that is to say the Israeli Counter-Terrorism Law of 2016. As demonstrated throughout the urgent appeal, the Israeli Counter-Terrorism Law does not pass the cumulative conditions of legality, legitimate aim and purpose, and proportionality demanded under the ICCPR to justify any derogation to the freedom of expression, assembly and association. Furthermore, the Counter-Terrorism Law in itself constitutes a flagrant infringement on the Declaration on Human Rights Defenders, which Israel has bound itself to.

As such, this urgent appeal supports that Israel's Counter-Terrorism Law is used as part of Israel's legal arsenal to discredit, delegitimate and undermine the work of Palestinian human rights organizations, the designations being one symptom. In doing so, Israel

⁶⁵ CESCR, Concluding Observations on the Fourth Periodic Report of Israel, E/C.12/ISCR/CO/4, 18 October 2019, para 17

⁶⁶ CERD, Concluding Observations on the Combined Seventeenth to Nineteenth Reports of Israel, CERD/C/ISR/CO/17-19, 27 January 2020, para 3(b)

⁶⁷ CERD, Concluding Observations on the Combined Seventeenth to Nineteenth Reports of Israel, CERD/C/ISR/CO/17-19, 27 January 2020, para 3(b)

⁶⁸ CERD; Concluding Observations on the Combined Seventeenth to Nineteenth Reports of Israel, CERD/C/ISR/CO/17-19, 27 January 2020, para 23

فرع نجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف Affiliate , International Commission of Jurists - Geneva قرع نجنة الحقوقيين الدولية ، جنيف المتحدة تتمتع الحق بصفة استشارية لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في الأصم المتحدة NGO in Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations



violates Article II(f) of the 1973 Apartheid Convention, as the "persecution of organizations and persons by depriving them of fundamental rights and freedoms, because they oppose apartheid."⁶⁹ The designation of Palestinian organizations is another tool Israel displays to thwart legitimate resistance and opposition to its discriminatory laws, policies and practices.

In light of the above, Al-Haq calls on the Special Rapporteurs to:

- Call on Israel, to immediately rescind the designations as acts that unlawfully derogate from the protection of the freedom of opinion, expression and association as enshrined in the ICCPR;
- Officially recognize that such designations amount to acts of apartheid and are, as such, prosecutable under Article 7(2)(h) of the Rome Statute;
- Examine the compatibility of the Counter-Terrorism Law with international human rights laws and standards;
- Urge third States, in particular the United States and European Union member states, to remove so-called 'terrorism' clauses as internal conditions placed on donor funding civil society organizations in Palestine, in that they form the backbone of Israel's misleading smear campaigns to label Palestinian organizations as 'terrorist organizations;'
- Demand that Israel urgently cease its systematic and ongoing policies and practices intended to silence Palestinian civil society and human rights defenders.

⁶⁹ International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid, Article II(f), <u>https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/documents/atrocity-</u> <u>crimes/Doc.10_International%20Convention%20on%20the%20Suppression%20and%20Punishment%20of</u> <u>%20the%20Crime%20of%20Apartheid.pdf</u>